







# Technologies to identify and/or mitigate harmful interference

International Satellite communication workshop: "The ITU - challenges in the 21st century: Preventing harmful interference to satellite systems"

10 June 2013

Dr Rob Rideout VP of Sales and Marketing SAT Corporation +44 7775 744664 rrideout@sat.com

A KRMTOS Company

SAT CORPORATION

**IMPORTANT:** This document is presented to the recipients for purposes of education in relation to the current capabilities and products offered by SAT. The right to copy and use this document is limited to that necessary to fulfil this function, and this document and the information contained within must not in any case be used, copied or disseminated outside of the recipient's organisation without the prior written consent of SAT Corporation.

## Technologies to Mitigate or Identify harmful interference The Problem

# Radio frequency INTERFERENCE continues to plague the satellite communications industry

- Operators and Industry Groups (e.g. SIRG, GVF) report that signal interference significantly impacts margins, QoS, and operational efficiency
- Owners and operators see an increase in cross-polarization interference and other interference associated with equipment problems, and install / operator errors, BUT also unauthorized carriers, including <u>hostile denial of service</u>.
- The scope of the problem continues to grow in line with demands for SATCOM bandwidth and transmitting infrastructure
- Military needs outstrips MILSATCOM capacity ... and so we place military communications onto commercial payloads...

As interference events continue to grow in number, the resolution of issues which impact military communications transfer to the commercial battle-space.



## Technologies to Mitigate or Identify harmful interference Types of interference

#### Cross-pol Interference – Accidental / very common

- Generally caused by: incompatible modulation types transmitted in the opposite polarization field to digital services on the cross-pol; poorly aligned antennas in bursting networks; and/or lack of training/experience of the uplink operators.
- Becoming more prevalent as installation margins are squeezed.
- Mitigation: monitoring, detection and geolocation tools, carrierID, training.

#### Adjacent Satellite Interference – Accidental / common

- **Generally caused by**: operator error, or poor inter-system coordination. Transmitting antenna is poorly pointed.
- **Becoming more prevalent** as two degree spacing between satellites in the geostationary arc becomes more common.
- **Mitigation**: monitoring, detection and geolocation tools, carrierID, <u>coordination</u> between satellite operators.

#### Adjacent Carrier Interference – Accidental / minimum occurrence

- Generally caused by: operator error, or equipment failure (unlocked equipment).
- Relatively infrequent

SAT CORPORATION

KRIGTOS Comoso

• Mitigation: monitoring, detection and geolocation tools, carrierID.





Adjacent satellite

signal







## Technologies to Mitigate or Identify harmful interference Types of interference

#### Unauthorised Access – Accidental & Deliberate

Term given to a signal which is not resident as cross-pol or adjacent satellite or carrier.

#### Accidental: very common

- **Generally caused by**: equipment failure, human error, improper commissioning, and terrestrial interference.
- Becoming more prevalent as two degree spacing between satellites in the geo-arc becomes more common, terrestrial microwave systems proliferate, and installation margins are squeezed.
- **Mitigation**: monitoring, detection and geolocation tools, carrierID, training. Unfortunately terrestrial systems often have priority and so becomes dead capacity.

#### **Deliberate:** relatively rare

- **Generally caused by**: unauthorised "borrowing" of bandwidth for test purposes (e.g. at commissioning), piracy, and <u>hostile attempts to deny service</u>.
- **Becoming more prevalent** though geopolitical motivation.
- **Mitigation**: monitoring, detection and geolocation tools. While hostile jamming is generally easy to locate, it is almost impossible to remove without political intervention, which can prove difficult.







#### Technologies to Mitigate or Identify harmful interference **Hostile Jamming – Case Study**



#### We're Jammin'

The inside story of how Al Jazeera Sports located the saboteurs of its World Cup coverage, and what the fallout from its findings could

mean for the region

#### By Adam Grundey

RIPS TO THE CINEMA IN DUBALARE always fraught with the threat of violence. Usually, it's restricted to fantasies of taking that guy's mobile phone and inserting it into his stupid yakking face. On June 11th, however, a mob of disgruntled customers reportedly trashed a cinema lobby when their demands for a refund weren't immediately satisfied.

The crowd had just exited the theater the opening game of this summer's FIFA World Cup in South Africa. The screening had been constantly plagued by technical glitches, with the picture disappearing for minutes on end and the commentary alternating between Eng-lish, French and Arabic. The cinemagoers weren't to know that it wasn't an solated incident, and not the cinema's fault. In bars, shisha cafés, hotels and homes across the Middle East and North Africa, transmission of the start of the

34 - ROLLING STONE, NOVEMBER 2010

month-long competition (the world's most watched sporting event) had been spectacularly screwed up. As the broadcast descended into chaos,

- which had splashed over \$1 billion to secure the exclusive rights to the tournament in the MENA region - were a whirlwind of activity. "We had people running in from all over," says an Al Jageera official presafter viewing - or attempting to view ent at the time, who asks to remain anonymous. "Our director of technology was on the Saudi border when the broadcast went down. Within 20 minutes he was here, A lot of traffic lights were run that night."

> that something had gone very wrong with the uplink to Egyptian satellite provider Nilesat. Crowded around a monitor in the control room, engineers and executives soon discovered, to their initial relief, that wasn't the case. The actual explanation, though, was more troubling.

alize what was going on," says our source. "The monitor shows your signal as a wave If you increase the power of your signal, the Doha headquarters of Al Jazeera Sport the wave moves up. Decrease it, and it goes down. We're looking at the monitor, and there's a yellow line representing our signal. It looks normal. As far as the satellite is concerned, everything is normal too.

"It took about half-an-hour for us to re-

Illustration by DON DIXON

The signal coming from South Africa is fine. The signal inside our HQ is fine. But, there's still disruption on the TV screen. Nilesat reported to Al Jazeera that its monitors showed a normal wave. Its output was faulty, but there was no obvious The initial reaction at Al Jazeera was sign of any problems with the signal.

"So we put out a stronger signal," he continues. "And as our line moves up, we see another line, exactly where ours was. Six seconds go by, then that wave starts to move up. And it stops exactly where ours is. So we decrease power. And the other line comes back down to where we are. We

There is anothe same time to the same. strength." Al Jazeera's broadcast was ... deliberately jammed by a third party. It wasn't a technical glitch. It was sabotage.

check and doubl he no mistake

L DAVEURA SPORTS AS THE NAME. suggests, is part of the Al Jazeera network, which enjoyed a meteoric rise to international fame following the September 11th, 2001 attacks, and the subsequent war in Afghanistan. It was Osama bin Laden's channel of choice for his Tora Bora home movies, and the only news network to report live from the ground, ensuring the Al Jazeera logo became a familiar fixture on screens all over the world.

Al Jazeera's Arabic news channel was launched in 1996 amidst much fanfare with claims that it was the first truly independent Arabic-language media in the region. "The whole point of Jazeera was that finally the Arab world had this media outlet that could balance the Western portrayal of what was going on here," says Feras Hamza, coordinator of Middle Eastern studies at the American University in Dubai, "So we could finally show Palestinian suffering as it really is. And that drummed up a lot of support."

The injection of an outspoken, opinionated network, unsurprisingly, ruffled a few feathers, too. Jazeera was given a homeand a \$137 million start-up package - by Qatar's emir, Sheikh Hamad bin Khalifa Al Thani. And while the channel has, on occasion, been openly critical of Gulf leaders, it's been accused of being soft on Qatar. "[The Qatari authorities] wanted this as a flagship brand, as an independent media outlet, but, at the same time, they didn't want to allow it to scrutinize or criticize the regime," says Hamza's colleague, assistant professor William Guéraiche

This perceived double standard has led to anyry reactions from Arab countries that the channel has criticized (although they'd likely have been equally upset even if Al Jazeera was seen as being completely balanced in its reporting). Tellingly, though, the Arabic news channel has come under fire from pretty much everyone. It's been accused, at various points, of being biased towards (and against, often for the same story) the Americans, the Arabs, and the Israelis. Which, you could argue, suggests its balance is pretty well-judged. What this all means, though, is that while Al Jaz eera has unquestionably been a positive development for Arab media, providing an outlook on the world that had previously been ignored, it's made some very powerful enemies along the way.

ACK AT AL JAZEERA SPORTS' HEADquarters, the team was frantically fire fighting, adding alternative

was not to blam around six the next morning, they felt the worst was over. They were wrong

han

There was uproar in the region's media. And while Jazeera's claims of sabotage were reported, it was often with an obvious air of skepticism. A had day turned worse when two June 12th games were also disrupted. By the end of the Cup's first week, it was clear that Jazeera was the subject of a concerted campaign of "space terrorism," as our source describes it. Subscribers' anger

wasn't just to us two distributors in two diffe that had their shops broken into and vandalized by angry customers who thought there was a problem with their cards. In one shop, the owner was beaten up." Al Jazeera needed something more than conciliatory press releases. It needed to find out who was responsible



was mounting, and it was clear that the network's PR campaign hadn't succeeded in deflecting it elsewhere. Inevitably, the blame games began

First up was Israel. "I don't rule out Israeli involvement in the disruptions," the head of Al Jazeera's broadcasting department, Raed Abed, told the U.A.E. daily Emarat Alyoum. This being Al Jazeera, though, Israel was not the only suspect. Because Al Jazeera Sports urged its viewers to switch from Nilesat's downlink to Arabsat's (as, at the time, Nilesat appeared to be the saboteurs' preferred target) the media began to speculate that Egypt was behind the disruption - a theo-

ry based in part on Jazeera's awkward relationship with Egyptian authorities, soured particularly by criticism from the Arabic channel (or at least its talk-show guests) of president Hosni Mubarak's role in the Middle East peace process.

This resulted in a counter-accusation from Egyptian officials, suggesting that Jazeera had sabotaged the broadcast itself. Mahmoud Juma, the head of Egypt's radio and television, was quoted on Al-

HE ANGER DIRECTED AT JAZEERA Sports by the general public following the disruption of the first rame had been simmering for a while beforehand. It started as soon as the channel announced it would be charging viewers to watch the World Cup. Fans in Algeria - the only Arab country to qualify - were quite open about their intentions to use pirate cards to watch the event. One doctor told Mashrebia.com he would be getting one as "a matter of principle," adding, "It's not right that the channel wants to get rich at our expense.

"Everybody was annoved at the fact that Jazeera was the sole provider," says AUD's Hamza. "My friends in London were watching it for free on terrestrial television. Why couldn't we? So there was already a lot of anger there."

"It was seen as being greedy – a very Western approach," Guéraiche agrees. "Commercial transactions can be frowned upon. If you're a good Muslim, [many believe] it's your duty to share with your brothers and sisters, whether it's a football match or whatever.

<link to article>

ROLLING STONE, NOVEMBER 2010 + 35

SAT CORPORATION A KRITOS Company

SAT Proprietary

6

MIDDLE FAST

## Technologies to Mitigate or Identify harmful interference The Toolbox

## DETECT.LOCATE.RESOLVE



## RF Monitoring and Interference Detection:

The first step towards mitigating against the disruptive effects of SATELLITE INTERFERENCE is rapid DETECTION and CHARACTERISATION through effective MONITORING.

Includes extraction of the Carrier ID where available.









SAT CORPORATION A KRINTOS Company

### ... and then Geolocation ... either two-sat









### <u>Two-satellite Geolocation</u>: Constant development continues to push the boundaries



A KRITOS Company

#### Accuracy

- Algorithm development and compensation techniques give < 5km today.
- Future aims (< 3years) are for sub-km accuracy.</li>
- Speed
  - High accuracy achieved in seconds

#### • Ease of Use

- Map-centric software
- Integrated monitoring, detection, characterisation and geolocation.
- Managed services

Ground-based interference suppression... a band-aid



## Technologies to Mitigate or Identify harmful interference Overview

## The tool-kit to mitigate harmful interference is extensive...

Training Coordination Monitoring Detection and Characterisation Carrier ID Geolocation Suppression

 No one tool is 100% successful in isolation, but together they provide operators and regulators with the means to RESOLVE the vast majority of cases of harmful interference <u>in-house</u>...

• ... with the exception of hostile denial of service.

## Technologies to Mitigate or Identify harmful interference Verification Services



SAT CORPORATION

A KRITOS Company

## SAT CORPORATION/SERVICES

#### Monitoring

- ... of RF Quality of Service Link Performance
- Power/bandwidth utilization
- Link quality (C/No, Eb/No & BER)

#### **Detection & Characterization**

- FEC, modulation, & coding
- Detect and characterize RFI before the user sees a problem

#### Coordination

- Extensive satellite operator network
- Can see adjacent satellites

#### **Carrier ID**

- Extraction of carrier ID being integrated with CSM systems
- Geolocation
  - Pinpoint the uplink ground position

#### Suppression

#### Technologies to Mitigate or Identify harmful interference Verification Services



## Thank You For Your Time

SAT Corporation (SAT) has prepared this document for use by its personnel, licensees, and potential licensees. SAT reserves the right to change any products described in this document as well as information included herein without prior notice.

The information contained herein is presented for educational purposes only and the right to copy and use this document is limited to that necessary to fulfill this function. The recipient agrees that they will not, nor will they cause others to, copy or reproduce this information, either in whole or in part, or manufacture, produce, sell or lease any product copied from or essentially based upon the information contained herein without prior written approval of SAT.

Registered trademarks of SAT Corporation include, but are not limited to, Monics, SAT-DSA, satID and SigMon.

Copyright© 2012 SAT Corporation. All rights reserved.